How many observers do you need to create a reliable saliency map in VR attention study?
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Issue
Attention and saliency investigation are becoming more popular. However, most of researches are using framed content, presented on a monitor. But what if you have unusual viewing conditions, for example virtual reality (VR) helmet?

The main questions while conducting VR saliency study are:
- How many observers do you need to make a reliable saliency map in 360°?
- What metrics should be used to assess 360 VR saliency prediction?
- What baselines for those metrics should be?

Purposes
1) Develop eye movement database for 360 VR video content
2) Find an 80% prediction point (similarity score)
3) Reimplement metrics and baselines for 360 VR saliency modeling estimation

Experiment

Saliency map
Saliency map is a map of probabilities to attract the human gaze (visual saliency).
The most representative saliency maps may be obtained by integration of gaze tracks of real observers.

Content
20 short 360° VR videos (average duration - 99 sec).
36 observers.
SET I: 5 moving camera videos, 5 stable camera videos; 17 min of video = 40 min in VR helmet.
SET II: 5 moving camera videos, 5 stable camera videos; 16 min of video = 40 min in VR helmet.
Total video duration: 33 min.

Equipment
- SMi Mobile Eye Tracking HMD
- Samsung Galaxy S7

Subjects
92 subjects (52 male, 40 female), young adults (mostly students of IITP RAS).
Most participants were inclined to have simulator sickness, so we devised tracking procedure into two days.

Result 1:
Database description

Content:
- 20 samples of 360° VR videos:
  - 10 videos with moving camera
  - 10 videos with stationary camera.
- Panoramic landscapes, extreme sport videos, virtual environment videos, etc.
- All videos are recorded with mobile HMD (no binocular disparity).
- Average duration: 99 seconds.
- Total duration of video set: 33 min 06 sec.
- Total duration of all recordings: about 50 hours.

Equipment:
- Samsung Gear 360 camera
- SMi Mobile Eye Tracking HMD based on Samsung Gear VR

Database requesting: a.bolshakov@iitp.ru

Result 2:
Estimation of minimal number of observers

It is accepted [1, 2] that the minimum number of observers required to create a reliable saliency map begins from 6 depending on content type. However, this number has been estimated for traditional content, so it should be verified for the VR helmet case.
We have found that required number of observers for VR is varying from 25 to 45 depending on content type. As a border of reliability we used the number corresponding to the fixation of all subjects with probability of 80%.

The results obtained could be used by researchers to plan experimental procedures for VR investigations.

Result 3:
Metric and baseline for 360° saliency modelling estimation

Metrics & baselines intro
Saliency prediction is the most popular branch of saliency research. It is obvious that to assess the quality of prediction you need 1) metrics and 2) baselines for those metrics. For static images and usual videos there are several popular metrics, for example Accuracy and EMD, and the main baselines for these metrics are "Chance" and "Center" [4, 5].

EMD with normalized distances
Accuracy metric does not depend on the resolution and type of image.
Hence, EMD metric from MIT benchmark cannot be directly applied to 360° VR due to:
1) Spherical image instead of a rectangular one
2) Difference in resolution of the framed and 360° image.

We have developed implementation of slightly different EMD:
1) The distance between two points is calculated as a distance on the sphere (instead of a straight line on the image plane).
2) Image was rescaled so that the area of the sphere is the same as the average area of the images used in MIT300 Salient benchmark.

Baselines
"Chance" baseline works equally well for static images and for 360° VR videos, but "Center" baseline is not as good for 360° VR. For the spherical image, there is no "center".

However, in practice upper and bottom parts of the sphere are less desirable locations for the viewer because they are uncomfortable to look at.

To have an internal baseline for the 360° VR videos we constructed simple method for estimating saliency.

"Equator" baseline - is a static saliency map where the saliency is constant for a fixed Y (vertical) equatorial projection and decreases from center to the top and bottom part of the frame.

MIT benchmark:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>EMD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Center</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chance</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>6.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our 360° VR baselines:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>EMD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equator</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chance</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions
1) The large eye movement database for 360° VR videos was gathered and may be downloaded by the request (a.bolshakov@iitp.ru).
2) The minimal number of observers for 360° VR video saliency research varies from 25 to 45 depending on content type.
3) EMD saliency metric from MIT benchmark was slightly reimplemented for 360° VR case.
4) Common saliency baseline "center" were reimplemented for 360 video ("Equator" baseline).
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Attention and saliency investigations are becoming more popular with technology progress. It is widely accepted, that the minimum number of observers required to create a reliable saliency map begins from 8 depending on content type. However, this number has been estimated for traditional content, so it should be verified for the virtual reality (VR) helmet case.

Two straightforward hypotheses suggests that this number should be increased proportionally to the stimulus angular size or potential visual field (due to head rotation) enlargement. Required number for VR helmet should be about 60 observers according to the first hypothesis (typical angular size of stimuli in experiments is 45-50°) and about 30 according to the second (VR helmet field of view is about 90°).

We have recorded eye movements from 91 observers during watching 360° video content (total duration - 33 minutes) using VR helmet eye tracker based on Samsung Gear VR. We have found that required number of observers for VR is varying from 25 to 45 depending on content type to obtain saliency map covering fixations of all subjects with probability of 80% (when 8 observers give from 45% to 65%).

From the data collected it may be concluded that both hypotheses seem to be plausible: the first one may be applied for complicated scenes (many moving objects against rich background), while the second one may be applied to the plain scenes (one moving object against smooth background).

The research was supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant (project No. 14-50-00150).

Teaser:
By collecting (using virtual reality head mounted display) and analyzing eye movement data from 91 observers watching 360° videos (33 minutes in total) it has been found that required number of observers for creating reliable saliency map is varying from 25 to 45 depending on content type.